

## BIOLOGICAL SUPPRESSION OF SUGARCANE RED ROT BY *BACILLUS* spp. UNDER FIELD CONDITIONS

M.N. Hassan<sup>1,2</sup>, S. Afghan<sup>3</sup> and F.Y. Hafeez<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>National Institute for Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering, P.O. Box 577, Jhang Road, Faisalabad, Pakistan

<sup>2</sup>COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, Park road, Islamabad, Pakistan (Present address)

<sup>3</sup>Shakarganj Sugar Research Institute, Jhang, Pakistan

### SUMMARY

Yield of sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum* L.) is critically limited by the red rot disease caused by *Colletotrichum falcatum* Went. Four native antagonistic bacteria *Bacillus subtilis* strains NH-100, *B. subtilis* NH-160, *Bacillus* sp. NH-217 and NH-69, that successfully suppressed the red rot disease under greenhouse conditions were evaluated to determine their efficacy as biological control agents in the field. Performance of the antagonistic strains was assessed on two different sugarcane varieties SPF-234 and Co-1148 under field conditions for three consecutive years. Two types of trials were conducted in which the red rot pathogen was inoculated by different methods to observe its direct suppression as well as the induction of systemic resistance. Three strains of the genus *Bacillus* reduced disease incidence by 45-49% in sugarcane plants challenged by pathogen inoculation in the stem and by 48-56% in the plants inoculated in the soil near the roots. The results of present study suggest a potential use of these strains in the development of commercial inoculants to be applied for the control of red rot disease.

*Key words:* sugarcane, red rot, *Bacillus*, *Colletotrichum falcatum*, biocontrol.

### INTRODUCTION

Red rot of sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum* L.), caused by the fungus *Colletotrichum falcatum* Went (perfect state: *Glomerella tucumanensis*), is a most disastrous disease affecting both yield and quality of the crop, and a serious threat to cane growers and sugar industry (Alexander and Viswanathan, 1996).

Control of this disease is based on the use of disease-free planting material, resistant varieties and fungicide treatments (Singh and Singh, 1989) which possesses many shortcomings, e.g. development of resistance

against fungicides, emergence of new pathotypes and undesired effects on the environment (Mishra and Behera, 2009; Jayakumar *et al.*, 2007).

An ecologically friendly alternative to these problems is biological control using rhizobacteria and their metabolic products (Vanitha *et al.*, 2009; de Vasconcellos and Cardoso, 2009; Loper and Gross, 2007). Rhizobacteria inhibit plant pathogens by numerous mechanisms related to: (i) secretion of metabolites like siderophores, which suppress pathogens by sequestering iron (Matthijs *et al.*, 2007); (ii) hydrolytic enzymes, which degrade the cell wall of many pathogens (El-Tarabily *et al.*, 2006); (iii) antibiotics, which induce systemic resistance in plants.

Currently, only a limited number of biocontrol products are available on the market (Whipps, 1997) which makes it desirable to screen and evaluate more biocontrol bacteria. The development of an effective biological control of *C. falcatum* rests with the screening and evaluation of potential antagonistic bacteria capable of reducing red rot under field conditions. In fact, many rhizobacteria that antagonize pathogens *in vitro* failed to control them in the field (Reddy *et al.*, 1993).

Pseudomonads have successfully controlled red rot of sugarcane under field conditions as reported earlier (Viswanathan and Samiyappan, 2008; Hassan *et al.*, 2011) and in a previous study (Hassan *et al.*, 2010a) *Bacillus* spp. reduced red rot incidence under greenhouse conditions but their potential to suppress this disease under field conditions was not determined. Antagonistic strains of the genus *Bacillus* are advantageous over other biocontrol agents in numerous ways, as they are ubiquitous in soils, sporulate excessively, have prolonged shelf life and enhance plant nutrition. Their efficacy in controlling many plant diseases has repeatedly been proven (see among others Siddiqui *et al.*, 2005; Li *et al.*, 2007; Gajbhiye *et al.*, 2010).

In a previous study, we have isolated rhizobacteria antagonistic to *C. falcatum* and screened some strains capable of controlling red rot disease on sugarcane cv. SPF-234 under greenhouse conditions (Hassan *et al.*, 2010a). The aim of this study was to evaluate the potential of the previously selected *Bacillus* strains to control red rot disease on two different sugarcane varieties SPF-234 and Co-1148 under field conditions.

Corresponding author: F.Y. Hafeez

Fax: +92.51.4340857

E-mail: fauzia@comsats.edu.pk

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Pathogen and biocontrol agents.** A virulent strain of *C. falcatum* previously isolated from symptomatic sugarcane plants was used (Hassan *et al.*, 2010a). The strain was routinely grown *in planta* on stems of cv. SPF-234 to maintain its virulence and was also maintained on potato dextrose agar (PDA) *in vitro*.

Four bacterial antagonists, viz. *B. subtilis* strain NH-100 (EU627167), *B. subtilis* strain NH-160 (EU627169), *Bacillus* sp. strain NH-217 (EU627170) and NH-69 previously selected for their biocontrol activity towards *C. falcatum* (Hassan *et al.*, 2010a) and reference strain *Pseudomonas fluorescens* CHA0 with its proven biocontrol efficacy against sugarcane red rot (Viswanathan and Samiyappan, 2008; Hassan *et al.*, 2011) were used. Antagonistic strains were routinely cultured on Luria Bertani (LB) agar and maintained at -80°C in LB broth with 20% glycerol for long-term storage.

**Testing location.** Efficacy of the antagonistic bacteria was explored in two different trials at the Shakarganj Sugar Research Institute of Jhang (Pakistan) for three consecutive years.

**Cultivation of plants.** Sugarcane cvs Co-1148 and SPF-234 were sown in a 20 × 40 m plot in February of each year. The plot had clay loam soil texture. The field was prepared by ploughing with chiesel once and twice with cultivator followed by planking. Double budded setts were placed end to end in furrows with R×R in cm. Plants were fertilized with N:P:K 150:100:100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Maqsood *et al.*, 2000). The full dose of phosphorus, potassium and 1/3 of nitrogen was applied at sowing time, while the remaining nitrogen was applied in two splits i.e. during the last week of March and the first week of May. The field was irrigated by flooding fortnightly throughout the crop growth.

**Experimental design, treatments and bacterial inoculation.** Treatments included inoculation of each antagonistic bacterium to the plant roots of the two sugarcane varieties grown either in pathogen-infested or pathogen-free soil. Inoculum of the antagonistic bacteria was prepared as described by Hassan *et al.* (2011). Briefly, the bacterial cells grown for 48 h on LB were suspended in 0.85% saline to a population density of 10<sup>8</sup> CFU ml<sup>-1</sup>. About 5 ml of this inoculum was drenched twice manually in the soil near the roots of each plant as soil treatment in the 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> months after sowing (Hassan *et al.*, 2011). There were three replications for each treatment. Sugarcane plants inoculated with *P. fluorescens* CHA0 and 0.85% saline were used as positive and negative controls respectively. The experiment was arranged in a randomized complete block design.

**Pathogen inoculation.** The red rot pathogen was inoculated in two different ways in separate trials to evaluate the ability of potential antagonists to control the disease by either direct suppression or inducing systemic resistance in plants.

**Challenge inoculation.** Challenge inoculation of *C. falcatum* was done after six months of sowing and one month after the last bacterial application. The pathogen was inoculated as described (Srinivasan and Bhat, 1961; Hassan *et al.*, 2011), i.e. spores of *C. falcatum* grown on PDA for 6 days at 30±2°C were suspended in sterile distilled water (10<sup>6</sup> spores/ml) and 1 ml of the inoculum was injected with a syringe in a hole created on 3<sup>rd</sup> above ground node, which was then sealed with cotton and a cellophane membrane.

**Soil inoculation.** For soil inoculation (Viswanathan and Samiyappan, 2008; Hassan *et al.*, 2011) sugarcane stalks infested with *C. falcatum* were chopped and incorporated in the soil before sugarcane planting.

**Disease assessment.** Disease in each trial was assessed at two time periods (T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub>). Disease in plants inoculated with fungal spores (trial I) was assessed 7 and 8 months after sowing and 1 to 2 months after fungus inoculation. Canes were split opened at the 30<sup>th</sup> and 60<sup>th</sup> day after pathogen inoculation and symptoms like lesion width, top drying, transgression of lesions across the nodes and prominence of white spots in the stalks were observed. Disease intensity was scored on 0 to 9 scale as described by Srinivasan and Bhat (1961).

Disease incidence in the plants grown in contaminated soil containing red rot debris was assessed by counting the number of infected tillers at different intervals, i.e. 8 and 10 month after sowing. The disease incidence was calculated using the following formula.

$$\text{Disease incidence} = [\text{Infected tillers}/\text{Total tillers}] \times 100$$

$$\% \text{ disease suppression} = 100 - [(\text{disease score/severity in treatment}/\text{disease score/severity in control}) \times 100]$$

**Data analysis.** Data were subjected to analysis of variance by standard procedures for a randomized complete block design using the Statistical computer software package (MSTAT-C).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Development of chemical-free strategies of disease management based on biocontrol agents is an emerging area in crop protection. In the present study, some *Bacillus* strains significantly controlled red rot disease on sugarcane varieties Co-1148 and SPF-234 under field conditions. The antagonistic strains suppressed the pri-

mary infection (Soil inoculation) as well as secondary infection (Challenge inoculation) of red rot which is caused by certain vectors like the sugarcane borer (*Diatraea saccharalis*) and tools used in various cultural operations.

**Efficacy of antagonistic strains against challenge inoculation of the pathogen.** In the challenge inoculation experiment, all tested strains induced systemic resistance in sugarcane plants without direct contact with the pathogen. *B. subtilis* NH-100, *B. subtilis* NH-160 and *Bacillus* sp. NH-217 suppressed the disease by 45-49% with disease score of 4.3-4.6 (Table 1). Efficacy of these strains was statistically equal to that of reference strain *P. fluorescens* CHA0. Unidentified strain NH-69 also suppressed the disease up to 26% but its efficacy was low. Performance of the strains was equal on both sugarcane varieties and consistent throughout three years. The effect of varieties and year was statistically non significant (Table 1). Efficacy of strains comparative to each other was significant [ $F = 183.7$ ,  $df_{(strains, error)} = 5$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ]. All the interactions were not significant except (strain  $\times$  year) in both trials (Table 2).

In this experiment, bacterial strains were completely separated from the pathogen to elucidate the potential of biocontrol agents to induce systemic resistance which has already been reported (Choudary and Johri, 2009; Yang *et al.*, 2011; Ramarathnam *et al.*, 2011). These

*Bacillus* strains also produced the broad-spectrum anti-fungal metabolite surfactin (Hassan *et al.*, 2010b) which further characterize them as systemic resistance inducers since surfactin is a good inducer of systemic resistance in plants (Henry *et al.*, 2011).

**Efficacy of antagonistic strains against soil inoculation of the pathogen.** In the soil inoculation experiment, all tested strains suppressed the disease effectively up to 56% with disease intensity 17.8 (Table 1). Performance of these strains was equal to that of reference strain *P. fluorescens* CHA0 (Table 1). The unidentified strain NH-69 was less efficient (19% disease suppression).

In this experiment, the effect of varieties and years was not significant, indicating the consistency in performance of the antagonists (Table 1). The effect of strains on both varieties was significant ( $F = 598.7$ ,  $df_{(strains, error)} = 5, 144$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ). All the interactions were not significant except (strain  $\times$  year) in both trials (Table 2).

In soil inoculation, pathogen and bacterial strains were inoculated in soil, thus facilitating direct contact between fungus and bacteria. High performance of the strains may be due to their dual action i.e. induction of systemic resistance as well as direct suppression of the pathogen. This varying potential of antagonistic strains to suppress red rot disease supports the early findings

**Table 1.** Effect of *Bacillus* strains on red rot disease during three consecutive years.

Strains	Challenge inoculation*		Soil inoculation**	
	Disease intensity	% Disease suppression	Disease intensity	% Disease suppression
<i>B. subtilis</i> NH-100	4.3 A	49	17.8 A	56
<i>B. subtilis</i> NH-160	4.6 A	45	21.4 A	48
<i>Bacillus</i> sp NH-217	4.3 A	49	19.2 A	53
NH-69	6.2 B	26	33.2 B	19
<i>P. fluorescens</i> CHA0	3.6 A	57	18.2A	56
Control	8.4 C	0	41.1C	0
Year				
Year-1	5.4 D	36	26.1 D	37
Year-2	5.1 D	39	25.0 D	39
Year-3	5.2 D	39	24.4 D	41
Varieties				
Co-1148	5.1 E	39	25.9 E	37
SPF-234	5.3 E	37	24.5 E	40
Time period				
T1	4.6 F	45	23.5 F	43
T2	5.9 G	30	26.9 F	35

All the values are mean of three replicates and bearing same letter in the same column are not significant.

\*T1: 30<sup>th</sup> day after fungal inoculation, T2: 60<sup>th</sup> day after fungal inoculation.

\*\*T1: 8<sup>th</sup> month after sowing, T2: Harvesting time

\*LSD<sub>strains, Years, varieties, Time period</sub> (0.05) = 1.269 ( $F_{strains} = 183.7$ ,  $df_{(strains, error)} = 5, 142$ ,  $p < 0.001$ )

( $F_{var} = 4.7$ ,  $df_{(var, error)} = 1, 142$ ,  $p > 0.001$ ); ( $F_{(TP)} = 149$ ,  $df_{(TP, error)} = 1, 142$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ); ( $F_{(Year)} = 4.2$ ,  $df_{(Year, error)} = 2, 142$ ,  $p < 0.001$ )

\*\* LSD<sub>strains, Years, varieties, Time period</sub> (0.05) = 3.84 ( $F_{strains} = 598.7$ ,  $df_{(strains, error)} = 5, 144$ ,  $p < 0.001$ )

( $F_{var} = 19.6$ ,  $df_{(var, error)} = 1, 144$ ,  $p > 0.001$ ); ( $F_{(TP)} = 109$ ,  $df_{(TP, error)} = 1, 144$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ); ( $F_{(Year)} = 9.8$ ,  $df_{(Year, error)} = 2, 144$ ,  $p < 0.001$ )

**Table 2.** Analysis of variance interactions.

Source	Challenge Inoculation	Soil inoculation
Year × Variety	NS	NS
Year × Time	NS	NS
Variety × Time	NS	NS
Year × Variety × Time	NS	NS
Year × Strain	Significant	Significant
Variety × Strain	NS	NS
Year × Variety × Strain	NS	NS
Time × Strain	NS	NS
Year × Time × Strain	NS	NS
Variety × Time × Strain	NS	NS
Year × Variety × Time × Strain	NS	NS

NS = not significant

that biocontrol agents exert different mode of actions in disease control (Matthijs *et al.*, 2007; El-Tarabily *et al.*, 2006). In an earlier study, these *Bacillus* strains also showed a good ability to colonize the sugarcane roots and protect the cv. SPF-234 from red rot disease under greenhouse conditions (Hassan *et al.*, 2010a).

Conclusively, ability of *Bacillus* strains to control red rot disease in both experiments and consistency in their performance under greenhouse and field conditions make them suitable candidates to be registered as biopesticide. However, further studies are required to develop their formulation and delivery system for effective disease management.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was partially supported by the Pakistan Science Foundation under Grant # NSLP-C-79 entitled “Field evaluation of Biopesticides/Bioinoculants for red rot (*Colletotrichum falcatum* Went) suppression and enhanced sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum* L) production”. We thank Dr. G. Defago (Institute of Integrative Biology Zürich, Switzerland) for providing *P. fluorescence* CHA0 and Dr. Kauser Nawaz Shah (Pakistan) for statistical analysis of the data.

#### REFERENCES

- Alexander K.C., Viswanathan R., 1996. Major diseases affecting sugarcane production in India and recent experiences in quarantine. *Sugarcane Germplasm Conservation and Exchange ACIAR* **67**: 46-48.
- Choudary D., dan Johri B., 2009. Interactions of *Bacillus* spp. and plants-with special reference to induced systemic resistance (ISR). *Microbiological Research* **164**: 493-513.
- de Vasconcellos R.L.F., Cardoso E.J.B.N., 2009. Rhizospheric streptomycetes as potential biocontrol agents of *Fusarium* and *Armillaria* pine rot and as PGPR for *Pinus taeda*. *Biocontrol* **54**: 807-816.
- El-Tarabily K.A., Sivasithamparam K., 2006. Non-streptomycete actinomycetes as biocontrol agents of soil-borne fungal plant pathogens and as plant growth promoters. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **38**: 1505-1520.
- Gajbhiye A., Rai A.R., Meshram S.U., Dongre A.B., 2010. Isolation, evaluation and characterization of *Bacillus subtilis* from cotton rhizospheric soil with biocontrol activity against *Fusarium oxysporum*. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology* **26**: 1187-1194.
- Hassan M.N., Afghan S., Hafeez F.Y., 2010a. Suppression of *Colletotrichum falcatum* causing red rot on sugarcane plants by plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. *Biocontrol* **55**: 531-542.
- Hassan M.N., Osborn A.M., Hafeez F.Y., 2010b. Biochemical and molecular characterization of surfactin producing *Bacillus* sp antagonistic to *Colletotrichum falcatum* causing red rot of sugarcane. *African Journal of Microbiology Research* **4**: 2137-2142.
- Hassan M.N., Afghan S., Hafeez F.Y., 2011. Biological control of red rot in sugarcane by native pyoluteorin producing *Pseudomonas putida* strain NH-50 under field conditions and its potential mode of actions. *Pest Management Science* **67**: 1147-1154.
- Henry G., Deleu M., Jourdan E., Thonart P., Ongena M., 2011. The bacterial lipopeptide surfactin targets the lipid fraction of the plant plasma membrane to trigger immune-related defence responses. *Cellular Microbiology* **13**: 1824-1837.
- Jayakumar V., Bhaskaran R., Tsushima S., 2007. Potential of plant extracts in combination with bacterial antagonist treatment as biocontrol agent of red rot of sugarcane. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology* **53**: 196-206.
- Li D., Nie F., Wei L., Wei B., Chen Z., 2007. Screening of high-yielding biocontrol bacterium Bs-916 mutant by ion implantation. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* **75**: 1401-1408.
- Li J.-G., Jiang Z.-Q., Xu L.-P., Sun F.-F., Guo J.-H., 2008. Characterization of chitinase secreted by *Bacillus cereus* strain CH2 and evaluation of its efficacy against *Verticillium wilt* of eggplant. *Biocontrol* **53**: 931-944.
- Loper J.E., Gross H., 2007. Genomic analysis of antifungal metabolite production by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* Pf-5. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* **119**: 265-278.

- Maqsood M., Hussain M., Mahmood M.T., Zamir S.I., 2000. Comparative growth, yield and juice quality of different sugarcane cultivars. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences* **11**: 1849-1850.
- Matthijs S., Tehrani K. A., Laus G., Jackson R.W., Cooper R.M., Cornelis P., 2007. Thioquinolobactin, a *Pseudomonas* siderophore with antifungal and anti-*Pythium* activity. *Environmental Microbiology* **9**: 425-434.
- Mishra M.K., Behera B., 2009. Pathogenic and molecular variability of *Colletotrichum falcatum* Went. Isolates from sugarcane with red rot disease symptoms. *Journal of Crop Science and Biotechnology* **12**: 31-36.
- Ramarathnam R., Fernando W.G.D., de Kievit T., 2011. The role of antibiosis and induced systemic resistance, mediated by strains of *Pseudomonas chlororaphis*, *Bacillus cereus* and *B. amyloliquefaciens*, in controlling blackleg disease of canola. *BioControl* **56**: 225-235.
- Reddy M.S., Hynes R.K., Lazarovits G., 1993. Relationship between *in vitro* growth inhibition of pathogens and suppression of pre emergence damping-off and postemergence root rot of white bean seedlings in the greenhouse by bacteria. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology* **40**: 113-119.
- Siddiqui S., Siddiqui Z.A., Ahmad I., 2005. Evaluation of fluorescent *Pseudomonads* and *Bacillus* isolates for the bio-control of a wilt disease complex of pigeonpea. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology* **21**: 729-732.
- Singh K., Singh R.P., 1989. Red rot. In: Ricaud C., Egan B.T., Gillaspie Jr A.G., Hughes C.G. (eds). Diseases of Sugarcane: Major Diseases, pp. 169-188. Elsevier, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- Sreenivasan T.V., Jalaja N.C., 1998. Induced mutations and somaclonal variation in sugarcane. In: Jain S.M. (ed.). Somaclonal Variation and Induced Mutations in Crop Breeding, pp. 421-446. Kluwer Academic Publisher, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
- Srinivasan K.V., Bhat N.R., 1961. Red rot of sugarcane: Criteria for grading resistance. *Journal of Indian Botanical Society* **40**: 566-577.
- Vanitha S.C., Niranjana S.R., Mortensen C.N., Umesha S., 2009. Bacterial wilt of tomato in Karnataka and its management by *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. *Biocontrol* **54**: 685-695.
- Whipps J.M., 1997. Developments in the biological control of soil-borne plant pathogens. *Advances in Botanical Research* **26**: 1-134.
- Yang S.Y., Park M.R., Kim I.S., Kim Y.C., Yang J.W., Ryu C.-M., 2011. 2-aminobenzoic acid of *Bacillus* sp. BS107 as an ISR determinant against *Pectobacterium carotovorum* subsp. *carotovorum* SCC1 in tobacco. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* **129**: 371-378.

Received September 9, 2011

Accepted March 21, 2012

